

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. D. Dr. 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/033,768	12/26/2001	Michael J. Tanguay	534-CIP	1848
25559 7	590 11:06/2003		EXAMINER	
ATMI, INC. 7 COMMERCE DRIVE			ZERVIGON, RUDY	
DANBURY, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/033,768	TANGUAY, MICHAE	L J.
7.447.00.77.10.10.1.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rudy Zervigon	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicated as timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	/ to a tion in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. TE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 timely filed.	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant? 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:</li></ul>	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): 112, 2nd paragraph rejec	ctions of claims 10,	11, and 20-23.
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se			Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· / /—		nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-24 and 50-52.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 25-49.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		-	
10. Other:	(-)(	·*	
Outon			

Continuation of 7. NOTE: The Examiner maintains all rejections as presented in the Final Action. Applicant's are identical to arguments presented in prior amendments. Applicant's definition of "glass" remains supportive of the rejections provided in the Final Rejection in view of Suda. Applicant has amended the claims to overcome the 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections presented in the final office action.

JEFFRIE R. LUND PRIMARY EXAMINER